Risk Code	Risk Title	Current Risk Score	Residual Risk Score	Risk Owner	Risk Manager	LOIP Themes
Fin001	Financial Compliance	8	4	Jonathan Belford	Carol Smith	Prosperous Economy
Fin002	NESPF Administration	4	4	Jonathan Belford	Laura Colliss	Prosperous Economy

Code	Fin001	Risk of poor financial compliance					
Definition	The Council is bo	uncil is bound to comply with financial stewardship requirements and is subject to regular scrutiny.					
Potential Impact	·	Causes	Control Effectiveness	Current Risk			
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment		
 Inability to deliver essential services Government censure/special measures Credit Rating downgrade Qualified annual accounts Reputational damage 		Critical financial	Key financial procedures adhered to	Partially Effective			
		procedures not followedFailure to set a balanced	Annual corporate budget-setting process.	Fully Effective			
		budget	Internal and external audit assurance	Fully Effective	bact		
		/ collection	Governance – assurance framework / robust delegated powers framework	Partially Effective	<u>E</u> Likelihood		
		 Failure of NDR billing / collection Failure to comply with LSE requirements 	Financial reporting framework with Quarterly reporting to senior management and City Growth and Resources Committee	Fully Effective	Very Serious		
			LSE reporting arrangements and training	Fully Effective	Very Low		
Risk Owner	Jonathan Belford	·	Risk Manager	Carol Smith	Residual Risk Assessment		
Latest Note	Reviewed an	d embedded Scheme of Delegation i d embedded Financial Regulations ir Scheme of Delegation and Financial I		2 November 2018	Likelihood Very Serious Almost Impossible		

Assurance Actions

Action		Progress	Original Due Date	Amended Due Date	
Key financial procedures adhered to - 18/19 Financial Management Plan		60%	30-April-2018		
Description	Ensuring that our key financial procedures are followed will result in our 18/19 financial out-turn position being as predicted at the Q2 monitoring position to Committee as at 31/10/2018 and maintaining sustainable finances for the council.				
Update	Complete - communications provided to budget holders; purchasing controls put in place; initial actions taken place in Accounting and Business Services Transactions team e.g. escalation of debt; monitoring arrangements put in place with CMT. To be Completed: Continuous review and reduction of forecast out-turns to meet essential spend criteria Review of areas of budget pressure Additional actions council-wide to adapt to meet financial position and monitor position				
Assigned To	Jonathan Belford				

Action		Progress	Original Due Date	Amended Due Date	
Governance – assurance framework / robust delegated powers framework		30%	31-December-2019		
Description	Ensuring that our governance and assurance framework is fully understood by all staff and Members with a financial role (budget holders, administrators, project managers) will result in assurance that standing orders, delegated powers and financial regulations are competently applied.				
	Initial Member and budget holder training has been undertaken; systems and specific financial responsibility training (e.g. FPP) has been rolled out. Rolling out the remainder of the training and applying the competency framework in practice with regular updates through PRD and team meetings is yet to have been implemented. Recording of competencies and actions to manage knowledge and competency gaps is yet to be implemented.				
Assigned To	Jonathan Belford				

Code	Fin002	Risk of poor Administration of the North East Pension Fund (NESPF)						
Definition	The Council is Adm	is Administering Authority for the NESPF and therefore accountable for its performance.						
Potential Impact		Causes	Control Effectiveness	Current Risk				
			Control	Control Assessment	Assessment			
 Negative financial performance Poor service delivery Reputational damage Loss of confidence by Members / Employers 		 Lack of effective risk management 	Annual review of governance including Pensions Committee and Board	Fully Effective	O			
		 Poor governance including effectiveness of Pensions 	Statutory and local KPI's in place	Fully Effective	t			
		Committee and Pensions Board Lack of performance measures Operational disaster, unable to access workplace Failure to recruit and develop staff Inappropriate or failure to follow agreed Investment Strategy	Disaster Recovery Policy in place, incorporated into ACC	Fully Effective	せっしょう Likelihood			
			Ongoing review of staffing requirements and annual review of staff training plans	Fully Effective				
			Specific investment team and systems team in place	Fully Effective				
			Specific governance and employer relationship teams to provide focus and dedicated time to ensuring confidence in decision making and relationships	Fully Effective	Very Serious			
			Training programme for benefits officers to develop skilled staff	Fully Effective	Almost Impossible			
Risk Owner	Jonathan Belford		Risk Manager	Laura Colliss	Residual Risk Assessment			
Latest Note	 Training ar Governand Pension Be Diversified Risk regist Updated for submis	ill be removed from the register an	t officers Council Constitution the Council und managers	2 November 2018	Likelihood Very Serious Almost Impossible			